

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/804,654	03/12/2001	Hongyong Zhang	07977/097003/US3176D1D1	1999
SCOTT C. HARRIS Fish & Richardson P.C. Suite 500 4350 La Jolla Village Drive San Diego, CA 92122			EXAMINER ABRAHAM, FETSUM		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A		Application No.	Applicant(s)
٠.٠٠	•	09/804,654	ZHANG, HONGYONG
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Fetsum Abraham	2826
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	L	h the correspondence address
Period fo A SHO	r Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC	ONTH(S) FROM
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	0 May 2002 .	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositio	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims		
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 2-17 is/are pending in the application	on.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) <u>10-17</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-9</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9) 🗌 7	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)□ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[] T	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12)[] T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	•
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Ap	oplication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prantice application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	<i>(</i> '	/
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		•
a) 15)∐ A	The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome (s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	provisional application has be stic priority under 15 150	en received. §§\120 and/or 121.
Attachment	(s)	VEHS WAY EXAM	
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	₹ 5) <u></u> Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/804,654

Art Unit: 2826

Claims rejection

The following non-statutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy so as to prevent unjustified or improper timewise extension of the right to exclude granted by a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968).

Claims 2-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-5 of U.S. patent No. 5,922,125.

As a matter of discussion, claims 2-9 are addressed by claims 1,2,14,40 of the patent. The claimed second region s a stopper of the parallel crystallization in claims 6,8 is also addressed by claims 14,40. This is because crystallization in lateral position must have a stopping point and can not go indefinitely for unlimited lateral distance within the island. Therefore, the region where the lateral expansion stops is the stopping region. Beyond the claims, the figure in the front page as described by the abstract also includes a substrate with regions (101, 102) as crystallization start points and regions (108,110) as crystallization stop points. Therefore, the claimed method of making the structure is fully disclosed by the patent.

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321(b) would overcome the non-statutory double patenting rejection provided the conflicting patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R § 11.78(d).

Claims 10-17 have been allowed.

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Fetsum Abraham at telephone number (703) 305,3793, or by E-mail at *fetsum.abraham@uspto.gov*.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the *SPE of AU*:2826 at (703)308-6601, or the *Group receptionist* at (703) 308-0956.

Fetsum Abraham

7/8/02

.